

## Erratum for the Final NAF Atsugi, Japan Human Health Risk Assessment

This erratum table below provides editorial comments and clarifications that have been identified for the Final NAF Atsugi, Japan Human Health Risk Assessment (PIONEER Technologies Corporation, May 2001).

	Section and Page No.	Current Text	Comment
1	Section 1.3.1, Page 7	The first sentence under the bullet entitled, "Residential Towers, Building 3101 and 3102," reads Buildings 3101 and 3012...	Building number 3012 should read <b>3102</b> .
2	Section 5.3.2, Page 73	The second sentence reads, "The carcinogenic risks at the Residential Towers exceed 1E-04 for both the average and RME child resident based on a 3-year and 6-year exposure."	The sentence should read, "The carcinogenic risks at the Residential Towers exceed 1E-04 for the RME child resident based on 3-year and 6-year exposures."
3	Section 5.3.2, Page 73	The third sentence reads, "The carcinogenic risks at the GEMB only exceed 1E-04 for the adult worker (both average and RME case) based on a 30-year exposure."	The sentence should read, "The carcinogenic risks at the GEMB only exceed 1E-04 for the RME adult worker based on a 30-year exposure."
4	Section 5.3.3, Page 84	The first sentence in the third paragraph reads, "For the purposes of this evaluation, the inhalation risks for an RME child (0 to 6 years old) and adult stationed at NAF Atsugi for 3-years (i.e., 1 tour of duty) were calculated at each AOC assuming a residential scenario."	The sentence should read, "For the purposes of this evaluation, the inhalation risks for an RME child (0 to 6 years old) and adult stationed at NAF Atsugi for 6-years (i.e., 2 tours of duty) were calculated at each AOC assuming a residential scenario."
5	Section 5.3.3, Page 84	In the fifth paragraph from the top, the last sentence in the paragraph reads, "The plausible upper bound cancer risk for children and adults, for a 3-year exposure, is approximately 2.1E-04, and 7.3E-05, respectively."	The sentence should read, "The plausible upper bound cancer risk for children and adults, for a 6-year exposure, is approximately 2.1E-04, and 7.3E-05, respectively."
6	Table 5-7, Page 84	In the "Notes" for Table 5-7, the first sentence currently reads, "Risks were calculated based on an RME child and adult stationed at NAF Atsugi for 3-years (i.e., 1 tour of duty)."	The sentence should read, "Risks were calculated based on an RME child and adult stationed at NAF Atsugi for 6-years (i.e., 2 tours of duty)."
7	Section 5.4.2, Page 86	The second to last sentence of the first paragraph, reads "...dioxin 2,3,7,8-TCDD-TEQ..."	"It should read "2,3,7,8-TCDD-TEQ."
8	Table 6-1, Page 94	There is a typographical error in the first row under Toxicity Assessment in the Comment column. The sentence reads, "Oral RfDs were available for 95 of the 246 COCs and Inhalation RfDs were available for 48 of the 246 COCs."	The number 48 is incorrect. The correct number is 58.  The sentence should read, "Oral RfDs were available for 95 of the 246 COCs and Inhalation RfDs were available for 58 of the 246 COCs."
9	Section 7, Page 96	The last sentence of the Carcinogenic Risk paragraph reads, "Oral slope factors were available for only 44 of the 243 COCs and inhalation slope factors were available for only 43 of the 243 COCs which may result in an underestimation of the carcinogenic risks."	The sentence should read, "Oral slope factors were available for only 44 of the 246 COCs and inhalation slope factors were available for only 43 of the 246 COCs which may result in an underestimation of the carcinogenic risks."
10	Section 7.1, Page 97	There are two typographical errors in the last sentence in the second to last paragraph of this Section. The sentence reads, "The plausible upper bound cancer risk for children and adults, for a 3-year exposure, are approximately 2.1E-04, and 7.3E-04, respectively."	The sentence should read, "The plausible upper bound cancer risk for children and adults, for a 6-year exposure, are approximately 2.1E-04, and 7.3E-05, respectively."